

ODE Conference Call – November 4, 2019

Notes provided by Naja Bailey (META) and Catherine Wright (NEOnet)

FY20L Initial Staff/Course collection – ODE started releasing CTE funding reports, which are important for districts to review for issues. FY20L has been open for a month now, and several hundred entities still haven't submitted any data at all. Some have just submitted Version 1, which had a bug that ODE corrected in Version 2. By the end of this week, ODE will begin running the TLC report; the plan is to run Wednesday evening and make reports visible on Thursday (could possibly shift by a day but should be this week). Districts who haven't submitted Version 2 (or anything at all) yet should submit. Another change to Version 3 will be to add a *STAT-001 Level 2 Status Report* to show how many rows of data you have, like what is in the "S" collection. Districts may see this Level 2 reports error until it is released:

Errors found while assembling the list of Level 2 Reports

Collection Request	Error(s)
Staff and Course Collection - Initial (FY20) (2020L1STR)	Found Level 2 Report counts for Report not found in the Collection Request: 2020L1STR, Record Type: STAT-001, LEA IRN:

FY20C Final Calendar collection – There are currently some issues with Calendar Type and IRN not passing through, so a new version will be released this week to fix that bug [**Level 1 DN C_CLDRTYPE Fatal error DN.0016(A) - Record reported is not within hierarchy of district reporting**].

CCP Files – New versions will be released this week to reflect updated data from colleges, flags, etc. up through 24th or 25th of October (file date will reflect which date it is through).

CTE Assessment appeals – EMIS Newsflash announced the window to request an appeal is extended to November 19. By the end of this week ODE is also updating the CTE file that will reflect all assessment data submitted through the close of the CTE Assessment collection for districts to do their final checks.

Data Collector –

1) Hotfix for Version 40 should have been applied to the data collector so when ODE creates archives the report names include the level 2 report name visibly on the archive page (like they did for Community School payment reports). Version 39 doesn't include what the actual Level 2 report is (e.g., FTE Detail or FTE Summary), it only shows multiple reports labeled "October Payment" and you have to open them to see the report codes to know which-is-which. Version 40 adds additional columns to the display to show what the report actually is. They've done this twice for CS; they are not paying any Traditional districts on current year data yet but will be relatively soon.

2) ITC's (not districts) may start seeing references in the data collector to County Boards of DD, but they can ignore. ODE is starting to set up a data collector for "attachment only" collections (e.g., 5-year forecast). BDD's still send their requests for funding to a secure FTP site, so ODE is migrating them to a

data collector to submit their files directly to ODE. ODE will be publishing a manifest only for BDD's, so if ITC's see anything in their data collectors they can ignore since BDD's will not be reporting through ITC's.

Q&A

Q: We have had some Special Ed tickets in since September 19, and the district is asking for updates.

A: Please update your helpdesk ticket with the request for an update.

Q: We have another ticket regarding a scholarship student. District asked ODE's Office for Exceptional Children if a student needs reported with both an IEP and ISP in EMIS; the answer was that EMIS Data should be entered for both their IEP and ISP since an IEP is required each year and an ISP needs done for parentally placed services. Is that correct?

A: Yes, that is correct.

Q: Several districts have asked about the *CCP LEA Deduct* received files, which they have for several different years. Is there anything they need to be doing with this report? There's no documentation.

A: That is really just informational for them as they go back and try to reconcile payments across multiple years. We create a parallel report for Higher Ed to show who they're being paid for, so they know if they have any kids they need to go back and bill for directly. We have the data so just went ahead and created one for districts too. Through CCP across the years, there have already been payment reports on ODE's website which Treasurers should be using to determine what was paid for which class, which term, and at which rate. They'd show that they're paying ABC college for 30 credits for Fall 2017, for example. The Deduct report allows them to track back each kid that took which course and how many credits they each earned.

Q: On the 2019A CTE tech assessment file, we see a new version dated November 2, is that what you're considering a refresh yet?

A: I don't think there is a new version of that yet, the only thing in the report collector at ODE are the files we sent on October 1. Pay most attention to not the date on the file, but the date at the end of the file name. It was September 30 (0930) so new one will have November date on the end of it.

Q: EMIS coordinator called saying her Ag teacher said 2 students on report were incorrect, another EMIS Coordinator had a PowerPoint that described this report, but we're missing the big picture, is there a change in the way data is reported? Maybe their Ag teacher is looking at the old method.

A: This report is more complex; the first time ODE is deriving cumulative scores for kids. Encourage staff members to look at the count of how many assessments ODE used to calculate scores (e.g., if you look at a particular kid and there's a count of 2 but the Ag teacher knows they took 3, that means one hasn't been reported yet to ODE at the course level, so check CTE submissions to see which scores ODE received and add the missing score so they can use it to calculate summative score. That PowerPoint Presentation was done at the recent OCTCA conference. ODE calculations are complex, so this report has a lot of data in a lot of rows. Have you reported all assessments? If you look at it and believe that all data has been submitted but ODE is not calculating correctly, open a ticket with their SSID and details of what you believe is not correct.

Q: So, in the past the district would've submitted summative/cumulative where they were proficient or not, but now that is calculated by ODE?

A: Correct

Q: District's SFPR receiving adjustments for Open Enrolled students coming in, but OE out are not reflected on current year numbers, isn't this all based on FY20?

A: No Traditional payment is based on FY20 data yet, they WILL be, but we have not gone to live data yet for Traditional Districts, we have for display only (data that doesn't impact the payment). Current year data (other adjustments, Open Enrolled, etc.) has not been flipped over to FY20 data. There is a Payment Report Data Calendar out on ODE's School Finance website that shows for each data element of the payment, what the data is based on. This will start to change in the next 4-8 weeks.

Upcoming calls:

ODE Change Call – Wednesday, November 13

ODE Conference Call – Monday, November 18